# 1NC

**1NC**

**Restrictions are prohibitions on action --- not oversight, transparency or reporting requirements**

Jean **Schiedler-Brown 12**,Attorney, Jean Schiedler-Brown & Associates, Appellant Brief of Randall Kinchloe v. States Dept of Health, Washington, The Court of Appeals of the State of Washington, Division 1, http://www.courts.wa.gov/content/Briefs/A01/686429%20Appellant%20Randall%20Kincheloe%27s.pdf

3. **The ordinary definition of** the term "**restrictions**" also **does not include**

AND

**some supervision conditions**, **but** he **did not agree to restrict his license**.

**1NC**

**TPA passage likely now despite Reid’s comments – Obama push is key**

**Reuters, 1-31**-2014 <http://www.reuters.com/article/2014/01/31/us-usa-trade-analysis-idUSBREA0U05T20140131>

President Barack Obama's push for authority to fast-track trade deals has hit a

AND

soon and then, hopefully, eventually, **a compromise**," he said.

**Fast Track fight is on the top of the agenda-Strong push from Obama is key-Failure collapses global trade momentum**

**GFP** Good-Farm Policy-12/31/**13** The FarmPolicy.com News Summary HEADLINE: Farm Bill; Ag Economy; and, Biofuels- Tuesday

And with respect to trade, the Chicago Tribune editorial board[18] noted

AND

**and, especially, from the White House. Now's the time.'**

**Congressional restrictions doom Obama- losers lose thesis is true- looks like political overreach and a bargaining failure- perception matters**

Dr. Andrew J. **Loomis** is a Visiting Fellow at the Center for a New American Security, and Department of Government at¶ Georgetown University, “Leveraging legitimacy in the crafting of U.S. foreign policy”, March 2, **2007**, pg 36-37,¶ [http://citation.allacademic.com//meta/p\_mla\_apa\_research\_citation/1/7/9/4/8/pages179487/p179487-36.php](http://citation.allacademic.com/meta/p_mla_apa_research_citation/1/7/9/4/8/pages179487/p179487-36.php)

American Presidents are vested with certain structural powers, such as those powers granted by

AND

affects the character of U.S. policy, foreign and domestic.

**Trade solves war**

**Girswold**, **2007** (Daniel T., Associate Director of the Cato Institute’s Center for Trade Policy Studies, Trade, Democracy, and Peace: the Virtuous Cycle, Peace through Trade Conference, April 20, <http://www.freetrade.org/node/681>)

The Peace Dividend of Globalization The good news does not stop there. Buried beneath

AND

important variable in determining a countries propensity to go to war than democracy.

**Extinction**

**Pazner 8** (Michael J., Faculty – New York Institute of Finance, Financial Armageddon: Protect Your Future from Economic Collapse, p. 137-138)

The rise in isolationism and protectionism will bring about ever more heated arguments and dangerous

AND

between Muslims and Western societies as the beginnings of a new **world war**.

**1NC**

**The United States Executive should not issue statements to legally justify counterterror operations by relying on self-defense.**

**Not a question of use, it’s a question of legal justifications- what their authors advocate**

Geoffrey **Corn**, South Texas College of Law, Professor of Law and Presidential Research Professor, J.D., 10/22/**11**, Self-defense Targeting: Blurring the Line between the Jus ad Bellum and the Jus in Bello, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1947838

At the core of the self-defense targeting theory is the assumption that the

AND

these consequences and offers a more rational approach to counterterrorism conflict regulation.98

## Solvency

**1NC**

**Plan provides legislative reaffirmation of presidential TK authority co-extensive with Article 2 self defense powers – that’s uniquely key– provides political and legal backing vital to prop up self defense based drone strike regime**

**Chesney et al.,** University of Texas law professor, 20**13**

[Robert, Brookings Institute senior fellow, Jack Goldsmith, Harvard University law professor, Matthew Waxman, Columbia University law professor and CFR senior fellow, and Benjamin Wittes, Brookings Institution governance studies senior fellow, 2-25-13, “A Statutory Framework for Next-Generation Terrorist Threats,” http://media.hoover.org/sites/default/files/documents/Statutory-Framework-for-Next-Generation-Terrorist-Threats.pdf, p.8-9, accessed 9-26-13, TAP]

Congress could authorize the president to use force that is consistent with his ¶ extant

AND

**defense regime is ¶ politically and legally more stable when backed by Congress**.

**Armed conflict and self defense legal justifications ARE independent, but CONCURRENT - plan legally fiats they operate in *mutual exclusivity* – completely obliterates BOTH and guarantees blurring by forcing each legal regime to serve both purposes**

Craig **Martin**, Associate Professor of Law at Washburn University School of Law, 20**11**, GOING MEDIEVAL: TARGETED KILLING, SELF DEFENSE AND THE JUS AD BELLUM REGIME, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1956141

In addition, however, the targeted killing policy threatens to create other holes in

AND

, and international armed conflict was thus far more **frequent and widespread**.109

**Geneva avoidance causes unrestrained global war and collapses US Cred**

**Ratner, 8**

(Law Prof-Michigan, “Think Again: Geneva Conventions,” 2/19, http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2008/02/19/think\_again\_geneva\_conventions?page=0,6)

“No Nation Flouts the Geneva Conventions More than the United States” That’s

AND

**such wars would far surpass anything the war on terror could ever deliver.**

**Separation of self defense targeting from armed conflict LOAC applicability makes Jus Ad Bellum principles interchangeable with Jus In Bello – causes operational confusion and conflation of legal regimes**

**Corn, 11**

Geoffrey Corn, Professor of Law, South Texas College of Law, Houston, Texas. Previously Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, and Special Assistant to the U.S. Army Judge Advocate General for Law of War Matters, “Self-Defense Targeting: Blurring the Line between the Jus ad Bellum and the Jus in Bello” 10/22/11, <http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1947838>

Koh, however, included one qualifier that suggests possible uncertainty. Rejecting the criticism

AND

are **interchangeable** with their in bello variants is **flawed and operationally confusing**.181

***Consensus of experts* rejects the plan – blurs legal paradigms, collapses constraints on use of force and degrades military effectiveness**

**Dorsey and Paulussen, 13**

Jessica Dorsey, Jessica Dorsey is an ICCT Research Fellow and a Researcher at the T.M.C. Asser Institute in the areas of International Humanitarian Law, International Human Rights Law and International Criminal Law, and Dr. Christophe Paulussen,ICCT Research Fellow and a senior researcher international humanitarian law/international criminal law at the T.M.C. Asser Instituut, coordinator of the inter-faculty research platform ‘International Humanitarian and Criminal Law Platform’ and Research Fellow at the International Centre for Counter-Terrorism – The Hague, International Centre For Counter Terrorism, The Hague, ICCT Research Paper, April 2013

The first issue addressed by the panel was that of “naked” self-

AND

to target, what kinds of weapons to use and so on.

**Self defense targeting outside armed conflict applies Jus Ad Bellum to In Bello regulation of conflict operations – turns blurring, undermines international LOAC constraints, Geneva Convention and War on Terror**

Geoffrey **Corn**, South Texas College of Law, Professor of Law and Presidential Research Professor, J.D., 10/22/**11**, Self-defense Targeting: Blurring the Line between the Jus ad Bellum and the Jus in Bello, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=1947838

At the core of the self-defense targeting theory is the assumption that the

AND

these consequences and offers a more rational approach to counterterrorism conflict regulation.98

**Allowing Ad Bellum self defense justifications to substitute for application on In Bello regulation ensures global WMD use – it gives justification for any actor who thinks they have good reason to use extreme force**

**Sloane 09,** Law Prof at Boston U

(Robert, The Cost of Conflation: Preserving the Dualism of Jus ad Bellum and Jus in Bello in the Contemporary Law of War, www.yale.edu/yjil/files\_PDFs/vol34/Sloane)

The former sentence, it seems, declares nuclear weapons “generally” illegal under

AND

Qaeda, that espouse some collective, sacred value higher than the individual.

**Reliance on expansive self defense interpretation Turns ever component of case and causes global wars**

Beau **Barnes**, J.D., Boston University School of Law, Spring 20**12**, REAUTHORIZING THE “WAR ON TERROR”: THE LEGAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS OF THE AUMF’S COMING OBSOLESCENCE, https://www.jagcnet.army.mil/DOCLIBS/MILITARYLAWREVIEW.NSF/20a66345129fe3d885256e5b00571830/b7396120928e9d5e85257a700042abb5/$FILE/By%20Beau%20D.%20Barnes.pdf

A failure to reauthorize military force would lead to significant¶ negative consequences on the

AND

Given the¶ presumption that the United States’s armed conflict with these terrorists¶

**Perception of operational effectiveness key to deter Russia in the arctic**

**Dowd, 11, Senior Fellow of the Fraser Institute**

(The Big Chill: Energy Needs Fueling Tensions in the Arctic,” <https://www.fraserinstitute.org/research-news/news/display.aspx?id=2147483979>)

**One reason a military presence will be necessary is the possibility of accidents caused by**

**AND**

When the message is unclear, Russia will take what it can get.

**Arctic conflict goes nuclear**

**Wallace 10,** Professor Emeritus at the University of British Columbia

(Ridding the Arctic of Nuclear Weapons A Task Long Overdue”, <http://www.arcticsecurity.org/docs/arctic-nuclear-report-web.pdf>)

The fact is, **the Arctic is** becoming **a zone of increased military competition**.

AND

geo-political/economic significance of the Arctic because of climate change.”

## Drones

**Terrorism**

**No terrorism—**no linkages, experts, your evidence is alarmism, data,

John **Mueller** political scientist at Ohio State and co-author of Terror, Security, and Money: Balancing the Risks, Benefits, and Costs of Homeland Security “Has the threat from terrorism been exaggerated?” The Commentator **1/8**/14 <http://www.thecommentator.com/article/4579/has_t>he\_threat\_from\_terrorism\_be en\_exaggerated

Two years after the raid on Osama bin Laden’s hideaway, terrorism alarmists remain in

AND

and that “they are recruiting no-hopers and dead-enders.”

## Legal Regime

**AW**

#### Plan insufficient to solve autonomous weapons—need to develop best practices with other states

Matthew Waxman and Kenneth Anderson “Don't Ban Armed Robots in the U.S.” New Republic 10/17/13 Kenneth Anderson is a law professor at American University Matthew Waxman is a professor at Columbia Law School and adjunct senior fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations http://www.newrepublic.com/article/115229/armed-robots-banning-autonomous-weapon-systems-isnt-answer

We’ve argued at length in a policy paper titled Law and Ethics for Autonomous Weapon Systems: Why a Ban Won’t Work and How the Laws of War Can that a much better solution than a global ban is to adapt the existing laws of war to deal with autonomous systems. The United States should set very high standards internally for assessing legally and ethically any research and development programs in this area—especially with regard to baseline requirements regarding target discrimination and excessive collateral damage avoidance—and for continually assessing legally and ethically any use of such system. Importantly, it should discuss publicly as much as possible how it conducts such review, and according to what metrics.

To make this work, the United States cannot go it alone; it should work with a coalition of like-minded partners to set standards and develop best practices. Some allies have already shown an interest in pursuing such a policy. Recently the British government, for example, responded to calls for a ban by declaring instead its view that existing international law already provides a strong framework for regulating future development of such systems, and that responsible states should engage in cooperative development of common standards and best practices within a law of war framework.

**India/Pakistan War**

**No chance of conflict—**new Pakistan PM solves relations, Pakistan becoming more democratic, close relationship between Singh and Sharif, Indian optimism, favorable circumstances, Pakistan army is willing to improve ties, deeper economic engagement planned, more positive Indian discourse

**Kumar, 13** (Sanjay – correspondent for The Diplomat, “Pakistan’s Elections: A Harbinger of Peace on the Subcontinent?”, The Diplomat, <http://thediplomat.com/the-pulse/2013/05/16/pakistans-elections-a-harbinger-of-peace-on-the-subcontinent/>)

Now that we know Nawaz Sharif will succeed Raja Pervez Ashraf as the next prime

AND

to usher in a new era of **peace and progress** on the Subcontinent.

# 2NC

### 1NR Impact Booster Wall

#### Russia’s getting ready for arctic war – resource availability makes escalation likely

Hanna Kozlowska 11/6/13 (fellow at foreign policy mag, foreign policy, “Russia Preps for Arctic 'Dominance' With Nuclear Icebreakers and Polar Warships” <http://killerapps.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/11/06/russia_preps_for_arctic_dominance_with_nuclear_icebreakers_and_polar_warships_0?wp_login_redirect=0>)

On Nov. 4, Russia's Regional Development Ministry concluded in a report that Moscow

AND

White Walkers come, we will all be grateful for the Russian icebreakers.

### Yes Escalation – Relations/Accidents Addon

#### Arctic confrontation causes nuclear miscalc – our ev assumes cooperation

SF 13 (The Simons Foundation, private foundation committed to advancing positive change through education in peace, disarmament, international law and human security. Jennifer Allen Simons, C.M., Ph.D., LL.D., established The Simons Foundation in 1985. An award-winning nuclear disarmament expert, thought leader, educator and policy advisor, Dr. Jennifer Simons has made it her life's work to foster a greater understanding of key global barriers to peace and to work with key parties, including non-governmental organizations, multilateral institutions and educational institutions, on a common agenda. “Arctic Security” <http://www.thesimonsfoundation.ca/arctic-security>)

The current major challenge is to prevent an arms race in the Arctic. Despite

AND

protecting the livelihoods of inhabitants and species as well as equitable economic development.

#### Unclear rules of engagement and confrontation makes accidents likely which causes escalation – even if war doesn’t occur arctic confrontation still collapses relations

Arctic Journal 10/30/13 (“The new cold war” http://arcticjournal.com/politics/new-cold-war

Communication is the key Simeon Wezeman, of the Stockholm International Peace Research Centre,

AND

, but had the potential to be “a very nasty diplomatic incident”.

### \*\*Turns Case – Enforcement

#### Operational clarity turns the whole aff- won’t be enforced by commanders

LAURIE R. BLANK, Director, International Humanitarian Law Clinic, Emory University School of Law, 2013 “Learning to Live with (a Little) Uncertainty: The Operational Aspects and Consequences of the Geography of Conflict Debate” 161 U. Pa. L. Rev. Online 347

Third, the enforcement and accountability stage of conflict introduces similar challenges as a result

AND

as irrelevant or, still worse, as doing more harm than good.

#### Any risk of a link causes military noncompliance

Geoffrey Corn 10, Professor of Law and Presidential Research Professor, South Texas College of Law, 2010, “Mixing Apples and Hand Grenades: The Logical Limit of Applying Human Rights Norms to Armed Conﬂict,” International Humanitarian Legal Studies 1 (2010) 52–94

Perhaps the most critical premise of this article is that failing to recognize the existence

AND

of overbroad application creates the potent to disable the eﬃcacy of military operations.

### Turns Case – Mission Effectiveness

#### Politicization kills morale and destroys war-fighting ability

Newton 12 – prof of law @ Vanderbilt (Michael, CASE WESTERN RESERVE JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL LAW·VOL. 45, Inadvertent Implications of the War Powers Resolution)

Finally, **the W**ar **P**owers **R**esolution **has the pernicious effect of incentivizing commanders to adopt**

**AND**

**success** in ways that its drafters would certainly not have foreseen or sought.

### Chemical Terrorism

#### No mass death from chemical weapons

Easterbrook 3 (Gregg, Senior Fellow – New Republic, “We’re All Gonna Die!”, Wired Magazine, July, http://www.wired.com/wired/archive/11.07/doomsday.html?pg=1&topic=&topic\_set=)

2. Chemical weapons! Spooky-sounding, sure. And dangerous. But

AND

a severe chemical attack likely would be confined to a few city blocks.

#### Impact is small

Eland 4 (Ivan, Senior Fellow – Independent Institute, “Weapons of Mass Destruction Are Overrated as a Threat to America”, Independent Institute Report, 1-28, http://www.independent.org/newsroom/article.asp?id=1256)

Chemical weapons have a much smaller area of contamination than do biological and nuclear arms

AND

is needed to produce chemical weapons so detection of production may be possible.

#### Delivery is too difficult to kill large numbers

Betts 98 (Richard K., Director of National Security Studies – Council on Foreign Relations, Foreign Affairs, January / February, Lexis)

Chemical weapons have been noticed more in the past decade, especially since they were

AND

logistically and operationally to deliver chemical weapons in necessary quantities over large areas.

### Africa Wars

**African war doesn’t escalate**

Barrett 5 (Robert, Ph.D. Student in the Centre for Military and Strategic Studies – University of Calgary, “Understanding the Challenges of African Democratization through Conflict Analysis”, 6-1, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=726162)

Westerners eager to promote democracy must be wary of African politicians who promise democratic reform

AND

– especially those conflicts in which the intervening nation has **very little interest**.

#### African war inevitable

Thakur 6 (Ramesh, Senior Vice Rector – UN University (Tokyo), “At Least No New Wars Began”, Japan Times, 2-15, Lexis)

In Africa, the Ethiopia-Eritrea peace frayed dangerously with neither side showing willingness

AND

opposition (and insurgent) CNDD-FDD in communal and legislative elections.

#### Multiple alt causes

Juma, 2 (Monica Juma, former Associate at the Africa Program of the International Peace Academy, September 2002, The Infrastructure of Peace in Africa, accessed via cioanet.org, p. 1.)

Since the end of the Cold War, Africa has been embroiled in a plethora

AND

are shaped by the interplay of features peculiar to each sub-region.

**Terrorism**

**No terrorism—**no linkages, experts, your evidence is alarmism, data,

John **Mueller** political scientist at Ohio State and co-author of Terror, Security, and Money: Balancing the Risks, Benefits, and Costs of Homeland Security “Has the threat from terrorism been exaggerated?” The Commentator **1/8**/14 <http://www.thecommentator.com/article/4579/has_t>he\_threat\_from\_terrorism\_be en\_exaggerated

Two years after the raid on Osama bin Laden’s hideaway, terrorism alarmists remain in

AND

and that “they are recruiting no-hopers and dead-enders.”

**No risk of nuclear terrorism – technically impossible**

**Michael, 12** (Professor Nuclear Counterprolif and Deterrence at Air Force Counterprolif Center, ’12 (George, March, “Strategic Nuclear Terrorism and the Risk of State Decapitation” Defence Studies, Vol 12 Issue 1, p 67-105, T&F Online)

Despite the alarming prospect of nuclear terrorism, the obstacles to obtaining such capabilities are

AND

there are still many nuclear weapons that could fall into the wrong hands.

**AW**

#### Plan insufficient to solve autonomous weapons—need to develop best practices with other states

Matthew Waxman and Kenneth Anderson “Don't Ban Armed Robots in the U.S.” New Republic 10/17/13 Kenneth Anderson is a law professor at American University Matthew Waxman is a professor at Columbia Law School and adjunct senior fellow at the Council on Foreign Relations http://www.newrepublic.com/article/115229/armed-robots-banning-autonomous-weapon-systems-isnt-answer

We’ve argued at length in a policy paper titled Law and Ethics for Autonomous Weapon

AND

responsible states should engage in cooperative development of common standards and best practices within a law of war framework.

**India/Pakistan War**

**No chance of conflict—**new Pakistan PM solves relations, Pakistan becoming more democratic, close relationship between Singh and Sharif, Indian optimism, favorable circumstances, Pakistan army is willing to improve ties, deeper economic engagement planned, more positive Indian discourse

**Kumar, 13** (Sanjay – correspondent for The Diplomat, “Pakistan’s Elections: A Harbinger of Peace on the Subcontinent?”, The Diplomat, <http://thediplomat.com/the-pulse/2013/05/16/pakistans-elections-a-harbinger-of-peace-on-the-subcontinent/>)

Now that we know Nawaz Sharif will succeed Raja Pervez Ashraf as the next prime

AND

to usher in a new era of **peace and progress** on the Subcontinent.

**Deterrence checks**

**Khan, 12** (Ikram Ullah, analyst for the South Asian Strategic Stability Institute, “Nuclear Pakistan: Defence Vs Energy Development,” 7/26, <http://www.eurasiareview.com/26072012-nuclear-pakistan-defence-vs-energy-development-oped/>)

We must be clear that nuclear weapons are here to maintain peace and stability between

AND

it prevented the likelihood of an all out nuclear war in South Asia.

**Solvency**

**You solve none of this advantage- it’s self-defense OUTSIDE armed conflict that links and alternate justifications undermine deterrence too – your internal link**

Laurie **Blank**, Director, International Humanitarian Law Clinic, Emory Law School, 20**12**, Targeted Strikes: The Consequences of Blurring the Armed Conflict and Self-Defense Justifications, http://www.wmitchell.edu/lawreview/Volume38/documents/11.BlankFINAL.pdf

For the past several years, the United States has relied on both armed conflict

AND

of their cause. The result: an **invitation to unregulated warfare**.11

**No causal link between US actions and international drone norms**

Kenneth **Anderson 11**, Professor of International Law at American University, 10/9/11, “What Kind of Drones Arms Race Is Coming?,” <http://www.volokh.com/2011/10/09/what-kind-of-drones-arms-race-is-coming/#more-51516>

New York Times

**AND**

**that are … more discriminating**.

### 2NC Impact – Heg

#### Perception of operational effectiveness key to deterrence

Donnelly 3 (Thomas, resident fellow at AEI, The Underpinnings of the Bush Doctrine, February 1, <http://www.aei.org/article/foreign-and-defense-policy/the-underpinnings-of-the-bush-doctrine/>)

The preservation of today's Pax Americana rests upon both actual military strength and the perception

AND

in part on a logic of preemption that underlies the logic of primacy.

#### Global nuclear war

Brooks 13

Stephen G., Associate Professor of Government at Dartmouth College, G. John ikenberry, Albert G. Milbank Professor of Politics and International Affairs at Princeton University and Global Eminence Scholar at Kyung Hee University in Seoul and William C. Wohlforth, Professor of Government at Dartmouth College, “Lean Forward”, Foreign Affairs, January / February, 92(1) http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/138468/stephen-g-brooks-g-john-ikenberry-and-william-c-wohlforth/lean-forward

. If anything, alliances reduce the risk of getting pulled into a conflict.

AND

can use bargaining chips in one issue area to make progress in others.

# 1NR

### Impact Wall – 1NR

**Trade conflict outweighs and turns the case:**

**It’s a conflict mitigator—20 years of data proves integration makes war over 10 times less likely—**outweighs signal of democracy**—means AFF impacts don’t escalate—that’s Griswold**

**And, Panzer cites multiple extinction scenarios—all go nuclear:**

**China/Taiwan**

**Hunkovic 9** (Lee J, American Military University, “The Chinese-Taiwanese Conflict: Possible Futures of a Confrontation between China, Taiwan and the United States of America”, [http://www.lamp-method.org/eCommons/ Hunkovic.pdf](http://www.lamp-method.org/eCommons/Hunkovic.pdf))

A war between China, Taiwan and the United States has the potential to escalate

AND

outcome, therefore, other countries will not be considered in this study.

**Iranian adventurism**

**Ben-Meir 7** (Alon, commentator for the UPI, “Ending Iranian Defiance,” February 6, <http://www.spacewar.com/reports/Ending_Iranian_Defiance_999.html>)

That Iran stands today able to challenge or even defy the United States in every

AND

to warn Iran of the severe consequences of not halting its nuclear program.

**Terrorism**

**Speice 6** (Patrick, JD Candidate – College of William and Mary, “Negligence and Nuclear Nonproliferation: Eliminating the Current Liability Barrier to Bilateral U.S.-Russian Nonproliferation Assistance Programs”, William and Mary Law Review, 47 Wm and Mary L. Rev. 1427, February, Lexis)

Terrorist groups could acquire a nuclear weapon by a number of methods, including "

AND

draw in the United States and escalate to the use of nuclear weapons.

**Bioweapons**

**Ochs 2** (Richard, Member – Chemical Weapons Working Group, “Biological Weapons Must be Abolished Immediately, 6-9, http://www.freefromterror.net/other\_articles/abolish.html)

Of all the weapons of mass destruction, the genetically engineered biological weapons, many without a known cure or vaccine, are an extreme danger to the continued survival of life on earth. Any perceived military value or deterrence pales in comparison to the great risk these weapons pose just sitting in vials in laboratories. While a "nuclear winter," resulting from a massive exchange of nuclear weapons, could also kill off most of life on earth and severely compromise the health of future generations, they are easier to control. Biological weapons, on the other hand, can get out of control very easily, as the recent anthrax attacks has demonstrated. There is no way to guarantee the security of these doomsday weapons because very tiny amounts can be stolen or accidentally released and then grow or be grown to horrendous proportions. The Black Death of the Middle Ages would be small in comparison to the potential damage bioweapons could cause. Abolition of chemical weapons is less of a priority because, while they can also kill millions of people outright, their persistence in the environment would be less than nuclear or biological agents or more localized. Hence, chemical weapons would have a lesser effect on future generations of innocent people and the natural environment. Like the Holocaust, once a localized chemical extermination is over, it is over. With nuclear and biological weapons, the killing will probably never end. Radioactive elements last tens of thousands of years and will keep causing cancers virtually forever. Potentially worse than that, bio-engineered agents by the hundreds with no known cure could wreck even greater calamity on the human race than could persistent radiation. AIDS and ebola viruses are just a small example of recently emerging plagues with no known cure or vaccine. Can we imagine hundreds of such plagues? HUMAN EXTINCTION IS NOW POSSIBLE.

#### Independently, Panzer says it causes diversionary war—turns the entirety of the case

Peter M. **Holm**¶ Department of Political Science¶ University of Wisconsin, Madison¶ and Timothy **Werner**¶ Department of Political Science¶ University of Wisconsin, Madison, **2007** “Political Capital and Presidential War Powers: Sources of Congressional Assertiveness on the Use of Force”

These studies have focused primarily

**AND**

blithely¶ sending troops into danger when his domestic fortunes begin to wane.

**More ev**

Peter M. **Holm**¶ Department of Political Science¶ University of Wisconsin, Madison¶ and Timothy **Werner**¶ Department of Political Science¶ University of Wisconsin, Madison, **2007** “Political Capital and Presidential War Powers: Sources of Congressional Assertiveness on the Use of Force”

Looking at the background control variables typical of the use of force literature, our

AND

, depending on the specification; p Ç .01 in all models).

### \*Trade T/ Norms

#### No norm development when trade collapses

Blatt, Book Reviewer for Futurecast, ‘2 (Dan, Book Review of Joseph S. Nye’s “The Paradox of American Power”, http://www.futurecasts.com/book%20review%204-02.htm )

Coalitions against particular U.S. international interests have occurred and are made more

AND

is essential for the development and maintenance of the attributes of soft power.

**War powers legislation inevitably controversial- undermines the signal of the plan**

Alan **Greenblatt** NPR.org writer 6-16-**2011** <http://www.npr.org/2011/06/16/137222043/why-the-war-powers-act-doesnt-work>

Party Vs. Principle¶ Both Hamilton and Adams say the **W**ar **P**owers **A**ct is

AND

the courts that a president is in violation of the War Powers Act.

#### Free trade solves terrorism

Lindsey, 2001 (Brink, Director of the Center for Trade Policy Studies at Cato, “Free Trade and Our National Security”, December 5, <http://www.freetrade.org/pubs/articles/bl-12-5-01.html>)

President Kennedy was no less forceful in linking free trade and national security. “

AND

Korea demonstrate the interconnectedness of globalization, economic dynamism, and eventual democratization.

### AT: Not Reverse Causal

#### Global trade is on the brink of collapse-rising US protectionism risks global escalation.

Lincicome 12 (Scott, trade attorney, “Is Missing American Trade Leadership Beginning to Bear Protectionist Fruit? (Hint: Kinda Looks Like It),” June 12, <http://lincicome.blogspot.com/2012/06/is-missing-american-trade-leadership.html>)

Over the past few years, I and several other US trade-watchers have

AND

its long-held place at the front of the trade liberalization pack.

### AT: International Blocks

#### TPA allows for international passage – confidence and certainty

AFP, 2-4-2014 <http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5jSTKK5rzox0cDTHe21re5hvj5gFA?docId=63886146-ac4a-4339-8e73-03c9d91ce2c4>

The power -- which Congress has granted the White House twice since 1974, the

AND

be torn apart in Congress," the chamber's international policy director Christopher Wenk.

#### TTIP key to EU soft power

Brattberg, 13 – Swedish Institute of International Affairs analyst

[Erik, currently Visiting Fellow at the Atlantic Council of the United States and a Non-Resident Fellow at the Paul H. Nitze School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS) at Johns Hopkins University, "The Geopolitical Importance of TTIP," 11-8-13, www.euglobalstrategy.eu/nyheter/opinions/reinventing-the-west-the-geopolitical-importance-of-ttip, accessed 1-3-14]

Although the obstacles remain several, European and American leaders have very good reasons to

AND

issues could

these strengths more strategically.

#### Strong EU key to solve multiple scenarios for extinction

Burton ’01 (John,- Ambassador for the European Commission Delegation <http://www.irlgov.ie/committees-02/c-europeanaffairs/future/page1.htm>)

2.5 As the Laeken Declaration put it, "Europe needs to shoulder

AND

truly human, prevail over blind global forces that will otherwise overwhelm us.

### 2NC Will Pass

#### PC is able to overcome opposition

FT, 2-2-2014 <http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/efcd8564-8c23-11e3-9b1d-00144feab7de.html#axzz2sHq3Dl5J>

America’s top trade official has sought to reassure European and Asian negotiating partners that the

AND

will let it stand in the way,” Mr Kerry said in Munich.

#### Will pass – Obama push overcomes opposition

CNN, 2-1-2014 <http://politicalticker.blogs.cnn.com/2014/02/01/kerry-hagel-rebuke-reid-on-fast-track-track-bill/>

Even old friends have occasional disagreements.¶ In a rare joint appearance at the

AND

let it deter us one iota, not one iota," he said.

#### -Likely but not guaranteed

Economist, 2-8-2014 <http://www.economist.com/news/united-states/21595958-harry-reid-threatens-impoverish-world-least-600-billion-year-when-harry>

IN HIS state-of-the-union address Barack Obama asked Congress to

AND

USA’,” he said; without mentioning that cheap imports raise living standards.

#### -Bipart support

NYT, 1-31-2014 <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/01/31/business/reid-pushes-back-on-fast-track-trade-authority.html?_r=0>

At issue is the so-called fast-track trade approval, a green

AND

services, even if some other jobs were lost to cheaper foreign producers.

#### Conclusive

Business Week 1/6/14

http://www.businessweek.com/news/2014-01-06/business-groups-back-obama-on-trade-as-historic-debate-begins#p2

Ed Gresser, a former adviser to the U.S. Trade Representative’s office under President Bill Clinton, said a similar number of Republicans opposed giving the White House fast-track negotiating authority in 2002, and that Obama will be able to persuade enough Democrats to support a new bill. “It actually is quite do-able for the administration,” he said in a phone interview.

House will get on board

Hinz-Chicago Business-1/2/14

http://www.chicagobusiness.com/article/20140102/BLOGS02/140109985#

Fight builds to give Obama fast-track trade authority

January 02, 2014

Big Illinois exporters could get a vote very early this new year on something they've

AND

Penny Pritzker, commerce secretary from Chicago, to play a role too.

### AT: No Loss- Obama Supports

#### First- doesn’t answer our link- perception matters- Obama looks like a loser without a firm command of the government in the wake of the plan

#### Second- actions speak louder than words- your entire aff proves Obama prefers broad executive power

Ryan C. Hendrickson Dr. Eastern Illinois University, “War Powers in the Obama Administration” 8-1-2010

Although it is early in the Obama administration, these first military actions indicate¶

AND

chief is an institutional pattern in¶ the conduct of the executive branch.

#### Public opposes congressional checks on the executive

Peter M. Holm¶ Department of Political Science¶ University of Wisconsin, Madison¶ and Timothy Werner¶ Department of Political Science¶ University of Wisconsin, Madison, 2007 “Political Capital and Presidential War Powers: Sources of Congressional Assertiveness on the Use of Force”

Another indicator of constituent sentiment in this area is the level of public concern over

AND

¶ it greater political risk, reducing the president’s propensity to use force.

#### It’s a loss for Obama that distracts from other priorities

Alan Greenblatt NPR.org writer 6-16-2011 <http://www.npr.org/2011/06/16/137222043/why-the-war-powers-act-doesnt-work>

On Wednesday, 10 House members filed a lawsuit designed to block the Obama administration

AND

is often the case, they argue the process rather than the substance."

### AT: Won’t Pass – Reid

#### PC overcomes Reid opposition

WSJ, 2-4-2014 <http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052702303942404579361110464290196?mg=reno64-wsj&url=http%3A%2F%2Fonline.wsj.com%2Farticle%2FSB10001424052702303942404579361110464290196.html>

Although House Speaker Tom Foley supported the treaty, he said that in view of

AND

be willing to accept truly bipartisan majorities tilted toward Republicans in both chambers.

#### Obama pressure ensures a vote

Humberto Sanchez covers the Senate for Roll Call. 2-3-2014 <http://blogs.rollcall.com/wgdb/reid-on-obama-we-are-on-the-same-page-on-everything/>

Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid met Monday with President Barack Obama and brought the Democrats’

AND

Oh yeah, the president wants one,” Portman said of a vote.

#### Reid won’t block it from moving forward

Salt Lake Tribune, 2-2-2014 <http://www.sltrib.com/sltrib/opinion/57477685-82/obama-trade-reid-tpp.html.csp>

Apparently, Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid, D-Nev., has other ideas

AND

and he stopped short of an explicit threat to block it this time.

### Yes Pushing

#### Obama pushing hard- it’s a full court press on Dems for trade

WT, 2-3-2014 <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/feb/3/obama-reaches-out-to-democratic-leaders/?page=all#pagebreak>

Despite his vow to work around Congress, President Obama huddled Monday with Senate Majority

AND

“China and Europe aren’t standing on the sidelines. Neither should we.”

### PC Theory True – General

#### Consensus of studies

Anthony J. Madonna¶ Assistant Professor¶ University of Georgia, et al Richard L. Vining Jr.¶ Assistant Professor¶ University of Georgia and James E. Monogan III¶ Assistant Professor¶ University of Georgia 10-25-2012 “Confirmation Wars and Collateral Damage:¶ Assessing the Impact of Supreme Court¶ Nominations on Presidential Success in the¶ U.S. Senate”

The selection of Supreme Court justices is just one of several key powers afforded to

AND

(Edwards and Wood 1999; Light 1999; Neustadt 1955, 1960).

#### PC theory true for Obama- empirics

Color Lines, 10-14-2011 <http://colorlines.com/archives/2011/10/is_president_obamas_jobs_drumbeat_working.html>

But what Obama’s new insistence on a jobs agenda proves is this: the presidency

AND

something when he says we might be at a turning point in Washington.

### AT: Thumpers – General

#### Obama pushing hard- it’s a full court press on Dems for trade

WT, 2-3-2014 <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/feb/3/obama-reaches-out-to-democratic-leaders/?page=all#pagebreak>

Despite his vow to work around Congress, President Obama huddled Monday with Senate Majority

AND

“China and Europe aren’t standing on the sidelines. Neither should we.”

#### Everything else is smooth sailing –controversial issues have been put off

Forbes, 1-21-2014 <http://www.forbes.com/sites/billfrenzel/2014/01/21/2014-has-the-makings-of-a-peaceful-political-year/>

Appropriations for FY’15, beginning next October, are also coved by the Ryan-

AND

does not always bring progress. 2014 looks like one of those years.